#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 080 141 PS 006 609

AUTHOR Adams, Wayne

TITLE The Effect of Pre-Training on Long Term Memory

Improvement.

INSTITUTION Colgate Univ., Hamilton, N.Y.

PUB DATE [70]
NOTE 8p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC Not Available from EDRS.

DESCRIPTORS \*Cognitive Development: \*Learning Processes: \*Memory:

\*Pictorial Stimuli; Preschool Children; Retention;

Stimulus Devices; \*Task Performance; Technical

Reports: \*Training

### ABSTRACT

Recent research has shown that certain stimuli are better remembered 6 months after initial exposure than after one week. An alternative explanation of these findings was tested. The explanation posited that the younger children "remember" as well at one week as 6 months later, but at the earlier testing many do not realize what aspect of the stimulus the experimenter wishes reproduced. Findings indicated that pre-training to insure that subjects understood relevant stimulus attributes did not improve one-week or 6-months performance. [Not available in hard copy due to marginal legibility of original document.] (Author)

U.S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

The Effect of Pre-Training on Long Term Memory Improvement

Wayne Adams

Colgate University

## **ABSTRACT**

Recent research has shown that certain stimuli are better remembered 6-months after initial exposure than after one week. An alternative explanation of these findings was tested. The explanation posited that the younger children "remember" as well at one-week at 6-months later, but at the earlier testing many do not realize what aspect of the stimulus of experimenter wishes reproduced. Findings indicated that pre-training to insure that subjects understood relevant stimulus attributes did not improve one-week or 6-months performance.

S 006609

# The Effect Of Pre-Training On Long\_Term Memory Improvement

# Wayne Adoms Colgate University

One of the most striking findings of the recent Genevan research (Inhelder 1969, Piager 1967) has been that retention of visual stimuli it dependent upon the operation schemes that underlie the cognition of those stimuli. More specifically, when young children are asked to remember a drawing which incorporates logical operations just beyond their present cognitive structures, their ability to produce the visual stimulus six months after the initial presentation seems to be greater than after only a week's delay. Those studies (Alternever, Fulton, & Berry 1969, Murray & Bausell 1970) which have attempted to reclicate these findings have mostly cone so.

Assuming that this phenomenon does exist, it seems that the improved performance must be attributed to a decoding or retrieval rather than storage component of the memory process. That is, given an initial exposure to stimulus, the organism must in some way store a other absolute representation, and later "read off" that representation as a function of his shemata; attrevise how else could in perform at a higher level given the passage of time and no re-exposure. However, this implies an incredible storage capacity. Is every visual experience presented to the child accurately filed away? This seems difficult to recept, especially in light of many contemporary parillons of memory and perception (Neisser 1969, Gibson 1967).

Other explinations are possible, and Altemeyer, et al. 1769) tested a very sensible alternative. These actions thought that as children become older they may

naturally tend to draw the criterion figures with greater frequency even without the initial exposure. Altemeyer's work, however, Julinot fina support for this position.

Recently, Caldwell and Hall (1959) demonstrated that often children's poor performance on some tasks is due to their misinterpreting the directions of the experiment I situation. That is, the task was seen to be something other than the experimentar intended. When the subjects were trained to understand the directions in the same way the experimentar meant them, younger and older children performed similarly.

Using this rationale another alternative explanation to the long-term memory phenomenon is possible. It might be argued that the long term improvement can be attributed to the child's misunderstanding of the experimenter's demands at the younger age, but as he grows older and has more experience dealing with adult direction, his understanding is more complete, and hence his performance improves.

Therefore, it was predicted that after given pre-training which insured that children knew which aspects of the stimulus array the experimenter deemed relevant, those children would perform as well on a one week recall task as they or control children would almost six months later. A seriated arrangement of sticks, as used previously (Piaget 1967, Inhelder 1969, Alterneyer, et.al. 1969), was chosen to be the visual array to be presented.

METHOD

Subjects. Thirty-six children ranging in age from 4.6 to 5.9 years ( = 5.2 years) served as subjects. The sample was composed of 18 boys and 18 girls who were attending nursery schools in the central New York area. Most subjects came from middle class families.

Materials. A 35 x 55.5 cm sheet of bright, reflew posterboard was used.

a. Centrally mounted on the board was seriated array or eight, red licorice stick candies.

The candy length was graduated, from lef to right, from 19 to 4.5 cm. Additional unmounted licorice sticks of various sizes and a clear piece of yellow posterboard were also used. Licorice candies were used to increase salience of the stimulus array. A construction rather than drawing performance task attempted to control for age differences in drawing ability.

Also, two sketch pads with 21.5 x 17.5 \_m pages were used. On each of 2. white pages of one pad appeared two drawings of lines. In another identical pad there were 2) pairs of different geometric shapes. Both lines and shapes were drawn by using a felt ipped, black marker.

In the "lines pad, displays were randomly arranged so that 8-10 seriated lines appeared on the right or left half of the page and on the other half of the page appeared 8-10 lines in one of several non-serioted arrays.

"Geometric design" pages were composed of two geometric designs (e.g., a triangle and circle) each sharing half of the page, again position being randomly assigned.

Procedure. Swas brought by a male E to an experimental room adjacent to he nursery school room. Swas told he was going to play a game wrich required him to select which of 'wo pictures was correct. Experimental Ss were then presented the sketch pad on which appeared paired drawings of vertical lines. The control Ss were presented the sketch pad in which there were pictures of paired

geometric shapes, one of which was held constant over pages. Unknown to the children the seriated lines (or the constant geometric shape) were designated as correct and children were told after each choice whether they had been correct or wrong.

All children continued the discrimination task for twenty trials. Most all the children cought on quickly and gave correct responses for most of the trials.

After the discrimination trials were completed, E told S to look very carefully at a picture because S would have to make one just like it later. The seriated array of mounted licorice sticks was then presented for about thirty seconds luring which time E reiterated that S should look at it very carefully so that he could remember it.

One week and six months later E returned and put in front of a blank, bright yellow sheet of posterboard, identical to the one on which the licarice-stick array had been mounted. E told S to remember the picture of the licarice slicks he was shown previously and "make one just like it." E then showed S a large assortment of different sized licarice sticks, indicating that the picture should be made on the large yellow posterboard sheet with the candy sticks.

## RESULTS

Each childs' reproduction was recorded by E and later evaluated according to accuracy of reproduction. The rating system for accuracy developed by Altemeyer et.al. (1969) was used. The reproduction was rated 1-9, with larger scores indicating better reproductions.

Because the distribution of the performance scores did not appear normally distributed, a median test was employed for data analysis. Performance between the six-month ( $\overline{X} = 3.2$ ) and the one week ( $\overline{X} = 2.4$ ) testing periods was found to be significantly different  $X^2(1) = 3.95$ , p<.05. No differences were found between the

experimental and the control groups at either the one-week or six-months testing period. Nor were there any significant sex differences at either testing internal DISCUSSION

This study hypothesized that the long term memory improvement phenomenon was due to many younger subjects not attending to relevant aspects of the stimulus array. By insuring that subjects knew these stimulus aspects were relevant, no differences were expected to be found between one week or six months recall performance. The results of this study were not consident with this expectation.

Lecause observations rade following this study, it is known that most children acro-construct the seriated order of sticks if asked when the pattern is present to refer to. This finding, coupled with the results of the discrimination task used in this study, implies that children of this age 'see" the pattern as seriated. This necessitates a view which says that operations on visible stimuli must be different or a modified form of operations used in information retrieval.

It should be noted that almost 57% of the subjects used by Alterneyer et al., and 68% of the subjects in this study did not improve (i.e., either performed worse or remained the same). Therefore the process does not seem to effect over a majority of children. Overall, it appears that the intriguing long-term memory phenomenon presented by Piaget needs more theoretical and empirical clarification.

## References

- A'temeyer, R., Fulton, D. and Berney, K., Long term memory improvement: confirmation of a finding by Piaget. Child Development, 1969, 40, 845-857.
- Caldwell, E. and Hall, V., The influence of concept fraining on letter discrimination.

  Child Davelopment, 1769, 63-72.
- Gibson, E., Principles of perceptional learning and development. New York:

  Appleton-Century Crolts, 1969
- Inhelder, B., Memory and intelligence in the child. In Elkind, D., and Flavel, J.
  - (Ed.) Essays in honor of Jean Plaget. New York: Oxford University Press, 1969.
- Murray, F., and Bausell R. Memory and conservation. Psychonomic Science, 1970, 21 (6), 334-335.
- Neisser, U., Cognitive psychology. New York: Appleton-Century Crofts, 1967.
- Piaget, J.. On the cevel ament of memory and identity. Worcester, Mass.:

  Clark University Press, 1969.

## Footnote

This research was supported by a Colgate University Research Council Grant.

Appreciation is expressed to Ronald Tarnow and Gregg Shudder for help as experimenters, and the faculty and children of the Hamilton, Norwich and Cazenovia.

New York nursery schools for their cooperation.